



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/631,947 | 07/30/2003 | Zhihui Chen | 01CON218P-CIP | 1770 | | |
| 53375 | 7590 | 09/10/2008 | EXAMINER | | | |
| FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 26522 LA ALAMEDA AVE. SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691 | | | | O'CONNOR, BRIAN T | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| 2619 | | | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | | | |
| 09/10/2008 | | PAPER | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/631,947 | CHEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BRIAN T. O'CONNOR | 2619 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Brian T. O'Connor (Patent Examiner). (3) Farshad Farjami (Rgr #41,014, for Applicant).
 (2) Hassan Kizou (Supervisory Patent Examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: RTP Payload for DTM Digits, Telephony Tones and Telephony Signals.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 and the content of the cited prior art were discussed. No consensus was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian T. O'Connor/ September 8, 2008

/Hassan Kizou/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619